

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIANOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCIES

FILED

2008 JAN 15 AM 10:34

TO: U. S. DISTRICT JUDGE / U. S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE Sabraw
 CLERK US DISTRICT COURT
 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

FROM: R. Mullin, Deputy Clerk RECEIVED DATE: 1/14/2008
 BY Rm DEPUTY

CASE NO.: 07cv2299 DMS (BLM) DOCUMENT FILED BY: Plaintiff

CASE TITLE: Mendez v. Scribner, et al

DOCUMENT ENTITLED: Motion for IFP

Upon the submission of the attached document(s), the following discrepancies are noted:

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Local Rule	Discrepancy
<input type="checkbox"/> 5.1	Missing time and date on motion and/or supporting documentation
<input type="checkbox"/> 5.3	Document illegible or submitted on thermal facsimile paper
<input type="checkbox"/> 5.4	Document not filed electronically. Notice of Noncompliance already issued.
<input type="checkbox"/> 7.1 or 47.1	Date noticed for hearing not in compliance with rules/Document(s) are not timely
<input type="checkbox"/> 7.1 or 47.1	Lacking memorandum of points and authorities in support as a separate document
<input type="checkbox"/> 7.1 or 47.1	Briefs or memoranda exceed length restrictions
<input type="checkbox"/> 7.1	Missing table of contents
<input type="checkbox"/> 15.1	Amended pleading not complete in itself
<input type="checkbox"/> 30.1	Depositions not accepted absent a court order
<input type="checkbox"/>	Supplemental documents require court order
<input type="checkbox"/>	Default Judgment in sum certain includes calculated interest
X	<u>OTHER: Motion already on file (Docket #3).</u>

Date forwarded: 1/14/2008ORDER OF THE JUDGE / MAGISTRATE JUDGE

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

The document is to be filed nunc pro tunc to date received.

The document is NOT to be filed, but instead REJECTED. and it is ORDERED that the Clerk serve a copy of this order on all parties.

Rejected document to be returned to pro se or inmate? Yes. Court Copy retained by chambers

Counsel is advised that any further failure to comply with the Local Rules may lead to penalties pursuant to Local Rule 83.1

CHAMBERS OF: Judge SabrawBy: SPR/BSC

Dated: 1/15/08
 cc: All Parties

LEONARDO RUIZ MENDEZ
 PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER/MOVANT'S NAME
P. V. STATE PRISONER T48606
 PRISON NUMBER

PLEASANT VALLEY S. P.
 PLACE OF CONFINEMENT P. O. BOX 8504

CORNING, CA. 93210
 ADDRESS

REJECTED

United States District Court
Southern District Of California

Leonardo Ruiz Menendez,
 Plaintiff/Petitioner/Movant
IN PROPER
 v.
L.E SCRIBNER WARDEN. C.S.P.
 Defendant/Respondent

Civil No. 07cv2299 DMS (BLM)
 (TO BE FILLED IN BY U.S. DISTRICT COURT CLERK)

**MOTION AND DECLARATION UNDER
 PENALTY OF PERJURY IN SUPPORT
 OF MOTION TO PROCEED IN FORMA
 PAUPERIS**

I, _____, declare that I am the Plaintiff/Petitioner/Movant in this case. In support of my request to proceed without prepayment of fees or security under 28 U.S.C. § 1915, I further declare I am unable to pay the fees of this proceeding or give security because of my poverty, and that I believe I am entitled to redress.

In further support of this application, I answer the following question under penalty of perjury:

1. Are you currently incarcerated? Yes No (If "No" go to question 2)

If "Yes," state the place of your incarceration PLEASANT VALLEY STATE PRISONER

Are you employed at the institution? Yes No

Do you receive any payment from the institution? Yes No

[Have the institution fill out the Certificate portion of this affidavit and attach a certified copy of the trust account statement from the institution of your incarceration showing at least the last six months transactions.]